

Remarks

In view of the above amendments and the following remarks, reconsideration of the objections and rejections set forth in the Office Action of June 10, 2010 is respectfully requested.

In item 1 at the top of page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner objected to Figures 27-30 due to informalities. In particular, the Examiner asserted that each of Figures 27-30 requires a "prior art" designation. In view of the Examiner's objection, new formal Figures 27-30 have been prepared and submitted herewith. As a result, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner's objection to the drawings has been overcome.

The Examiner objected to dependent claim 25 because the dependency of that claim requires modification in view of the previous cancellation of dependent claim 24. Consequently, claim 25 has now been amended so as to change the dependency of claim 25 to claim 22. Therefore, it is submitted that the Examiner's objection to claim 25 has been overcome.

On page 3 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 32-33 in view of the prior art. However, the Examiner also asserted that remaining claims 22, 23, 25, 30, 31, and 35-43 were allowed. Therefore, rejected claims 32-33 have now been cancelled so as to place this case in condition for allowance.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is submitted that the present application is now in condition for allowance. However, if the Examiner should have any comments or suggestions to help speed the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is requested to contact the Applicants' undersigned representative.

Respectfully submitted,

Fumio KURIYAMA et al.
/W. Douglas Hahm/
2010.09.10 13:58:21

By _____
-04'00'
W. Douglas Hahm
Registration No. 44,142
Attorney for Applicants

WDH/pth
Washington, D.C. 20005-1503
Telephone (202) 721-8200
Facsimile (202) 721-8250
September 10, 2010